Early childhood linear growth faltering in low- and middle-income countries
Jade Benjamin-Chung, ^{1,2} * Andrew Mertens, ² John M Colford Jr, ² Alan E Hubbard, ² Mark J van der Laan, ² Jeremy Coyle ² , Oleg Sofrygin, ² Wilson Cai, ² Anna Nguyen, ^{1,2} Nolan N Pokpongkiat, ² Stephanie Djajadi, ² Anmol Seth, ² Wendy Jilek, ² Esther Jung, ² Esther O Chung, ² Sonali Rosete, ² Nima Hejazi, ² Ivana Malenica, ² Haodong Li, ² Ryan Hafen, ³ Vishak Subramoney, ⁴ Jonas Häggström, ⁵ Thea Norman, ⁶ Kenneth H. Brown, ⁷
Parul Christian, ⁸ Benjamin F. Arnold, ^{9*} and members of the <i>ki</i> Child Growth Consortium [‡]
¹ Department of Epidemiology & Population Health, Stanford University, Stanford University, 259 Campus Drive, HRP Redwood Building T152A, Stanford, CA 94305
² Division of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, University of California, Berkeley, 2121 Berkeley Way Rm 5302 Berkeley, CA 94720-7360
 ³ Hafen Consulting, LLC, West Richland WA, 99353 ⁴ DVPL Tech
 ⁵ Cytel Inc, 1050 Winter St Suite 2700 Waltham, MA 02451, USA ⁶ Quantitative Sciences, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, 500 5th Ave N, Seattle, WA 98109 ⁷ Department of Nutrition, University of California, Davis, 3135 Meyer Hall, Davis, CA 95616-5270 ⁸ Center for Human Nutrition, Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD 21205. ⁹ Foundation Provide Pro
Francis I. Proctor Foundation and Department of Ophthalmology, University of California, San Francisco, 490 Illinois St, San Francisco, CA 94158
* Corresponding authors:
Jade Benjamin-Chung, PhD, jadebc@stanford.edu, Assistant Professor, Department of Epidemiology & Population Health, Stanford University, 259 Campus Drive, HRP Redwood Building T152A, Stanford, CA 94305
Benjamin F. Arnold, PhD, <u>ben.arnold@ucsf.edu</u> , Associate Professor, Francis I. Proctor Foundation, University of California, San Francisco, 490 Illinois St, San Francisco, CA 94158
Summary
Globally 149 million children under five are estimated to be stunted (length more than 2 standard deviations below international growth standards). Stunting, a form of linear growth faltering, increases risk of illness, impaired cognitive development, and mortality. Global stunting estimates rely on cross-sectional surveys, which cannot provide direct information about the timing of onset or persistence of growth faltering— a key consideration for defining critical windows to deliver preventive interventions. We performed the largest pooled analysis of longitudinal studies in low- and middle-income countries to date (n=32 cohorts, 52,640 children, ages 0-24 months), allowing us to identify the typical age of linear growth faltering onset and to investigate recurrent faltering in early life. The highest incidence of stunting onset occurred from birth to age 3 months. From 0 to 15 months, less than 5% of children per month reversed their stunting status, and among those who did, stunting relapse was common. Early timing and low reversal rates emphasize the importance of preventive intervention delivery within the prenatal and early postnatal phases coupled with continued delivery of postnatal interventions through

49		
50	[‡] Men	nbers of the <i>ki</i> Child Growth Consortium
51	1.	Souheila Abbeddou, Food Safety and Nutrition Unit, Department of Public Health and Primary
52	Care,	Ghent University, Belgium
53	2.	Linda S. Adair, Department of Nutrition, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, USA
54	3.	Tahmeed Ahmed, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh
55	4.	Asad Ali, Aga Khan University
56	5.	Hasmot Ali, JiVitA Project, Bangladesh, Johns Hopkins
57	6.	Per Ashorn, Center for Child Health Research, Tampere University and Tampere University
58	Hospi	tal, Finland
59	7.	Rajiv Bahl, World Health Organization, Switzerland
60	8.	Mauricio L. Barreto, Center of Data and Knowledge Integration for Health, Fundação Oswaldo
61	Cruz, S	Salvador, Brazil.
62	10.	France Begin, UNICEF, USA
63	11.	Pascal Obong Bessong, HIV/AIDS & Global Health Research Programme, University of Venda,
64 CF	South	ATTICa Maharai Kishar Dhan Judian Justituta of Tashnalasu Naw Dalki Judia
65 66	12.	Nite Bhandari, Contro for Health Besserch and Development, Society for Applied Studies, New
60 67	13. Dolhi	India
67 69	14	IIIUId Santach K. Bhargava, Soniar Consultant Podiatrics, Sundar Lal Jain Hosnital, Ashak Vibar, India
00 60	14. 15	Zulfiger A. Phutta, Institute for Global Health & Development & Conter of Excellence in Women
70	and C	bild Health The Aga Khan University South-Central Asia, East Africa & United Kingdom
70 71	17	Robert F. Black, Johns Honkins University
72	18	Ladanorn Bodhidatta, Armed Forces Research Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangkok, Thailand
73	19.	Delia Carba, USC Office of Population Studies Foundation, Inc., University of San Carlos, Cebu
74	Philip	pines
75	20.	Ines Gonzales Casanova, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, USA
76	21.	William Checkley, Johns Hopkins University, USA
77	22.	Jean E. Crabtree, Leeds Institute for Medical Research, St. James's University Hospital, University
78	of Lee	ds, United Kingdom
79	23.	Kathryn G. Dewey, Institute for Global Nutrition, Department of Nutrition, University of
80	Califo	rnia, USA
81	24.	Christopher P. Duggan, Center for Nutrition, Boston Children's Hospital, USA
82	25.	Caroline H.D. Fall, Emeritus Professor of International Paediatric Epidemiology, MRC Lifecourse
83	Epide	miology Centre, University of Southampton, UK
84	26.	Abu Syed Golam Faruque, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh
85	27.	Wafaie W. Fawzi, Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard TH Chan School of
86	Public	Health, USA
87	28.	José Quirino da Silva Filho, Federal University of Ceará, Brazil
88	29.	Robert H. Gilman, Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, USA
89	30.	Richard Guerrant, University of Virginia, USA
90	31.	Rashidul Haque, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh
91 22	32.	Sonja Y. Hess, Dept of Nutrition and Institute for Global Nutrition, University of California Davis,
92	USA	
93	చ చ . ⊃⊿	Eric K. Houpt, University of Virginia, USA
94	54.	Jean n. numphrey, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, USA

95 35. Najeeha Talat Iqbal, Department of Pediatrics and Child Health, Aga Khan University, Pakistan

96 36. Elizabeth Yakes Jimenez, Departments of Pediatrics and Internal Medicine, University of New 97 Mexico Health Sciences Center, USA 98 37. Jacob John, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India 99 38. Sushil Matthew John, Low Cost Effective Care Unit, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil 100 Nadu, India 101 39. Gagandeep Kang, Translational Health Science and Technology Institute, Faridabad, Haryana, 102 India 103 40. Margaret Kosek, University of Virginia, USA 104 41. Michael S. Kramer, McGill University and McGill University Health Centre, Canada Alain Labrique, Center of Human Nutrition, Department of International Health, Johns 105 42. 106 Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, USA 107 Nanette R. Lee, USC-Office of Population Studies Foundation Inc., University of San Carlos, Cebu, 43. 108 Philippines 109 44. Aldo Ângelo Moreira Lima, Federal University of Ceará, Brazil 110 45. Mustafa Mahfuz, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh 111 46. Tjale Cloupas Mahopo, Department of Nutrition, School of Health Sciences, University of Venda, 112 South Africa 113 47. Kenneth Maleta, Department of Public Health, School of Public Health and Family Medicine, 114 College of Medicine, University of Malawi, Malawi 115 Dharma S. Manandhar, Mother and Infant Research Activities, Nepal 48. 116 49. Karim P. Manji, Department of Pediatrics and Child Health, Muhimbili University School of 117 Health and Allied Sciences, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania 118 50. Reynaldo Martorell, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, USA 119 51. Sarmila Mazumder, Centre for Health Research and Development, Society for Applied Studies, 120 New Delhi, India 121 52. Estomih Mduma, Haydom Lutheran Hospital, Haydom, Tanzania 122 53. Venkata Raghava Mohan, Professor, Community Medicine, Christian Medical College, Vellore 123 Tamil Nadu, India 124 Sophie E. Moore, Department of Women and Children's Health, Kings College London, London, 54. 125 UK & MRC Unit The Gambia at London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom 126 55. Ishita Mostafa, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh 127 56. Robert Ntozini, Zvitambo Institute for Maternal and Child Health Research, Zimbabwe 128 57. Mzwakhe Emanuel Nyathi, Department of Animal Sciences, School of Agriculture, University of 129 Venda, South Africa 130 Maribel Paredes Olortegui, AB PRISMA, Peru 58. 131 59. William A. Petri, University of Virginia, USA 132 Prasanna Samuel Premkumar, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India 60. 133 61. Andrew M. Prentice, MRC Unit The Gambia at London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, 134 Banjul, The Gambia 135 62. Najeeb Rahman, Aga Khan University, Pakistan 136 Harshpal Singh Sachdev, Senior Consultant Pediatrics and Clinical Epidemiology, Sitaram Bhartia 63. 137 Institute of Science and Research, B-16 Qutab Institutional Area, New Delhi, India 138 Kamran Sadiq, Aga Khan University, Pakistan 64. 139 65. Rajiv Sarkar, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India 140 66. Naomi M. Saville, Institute for Global Health, University College London, United Kingdom 141 67. Saijuddin Shaikh, Johns Hopkins and JiVitA Project, Bangladesh

142 68. Bhim P. Shrestha, Health Research and Development Forum, Kathmandu, Nepal

- 143 69. Sanjaya Kumar Shrestha, MD, Walter Reed/AFRIMS Research Unit, Kathmandu, Nepal, Centre
- 144 for International Health, University of Bergen, Norway
- 145 70. Alberto Melo Soares, Federal University of Ceará, Brazil
- T1. Bakary Sonko, MRC Unit The Gambia at London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Banjul,
 The Gambia
- 148 72. Aryeh D. Stein, Hubert Department of Global Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory149 University, USA
- 150 73. Erling Svensen, Haukeland University Hospital, Norway
- 151 74. Sana Syed, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology & Nutrition
- 152 University of Virginia School of Medicine, and Aga Khan University, Pakistan
- 153 75. Fayaz Umrani, Aga Khan University, Pakistan
- 154 76. Honorine D. Ward, Tufts Medical Center, Tufts University School of Medicine, USA
- 155 77. Keith P. West Jr., Center for Human Nutrition, Department of International Health, Johns
- 156 Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, USA
- 157 78. Lee Shu Fune Wu, Center for Human Nutrition, Department of International Health, Johns
- 158 Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, USA
- 159 79. Seungmi Yang, McGill University, Canada
- 160 80. Pablo Penataro Yori, University of Virginia, USA

162 Introduction

In 2018, 149 million children under 5 years (22% globally) were stunted (length-for-age Z-score >2 standard deviations below the median of the growth standard for age and sex), with the largest burden in South Asia and Africa.^{1,2} Early-life stunting is associated with increased risk of mortality,³ diarrhea, pneumonia, and measles in childhood^{4,5} and impaired cognition and productivity in adulthood.^{6–8} Global income would increase by an estimated \$176.8 billion per year if linear growth faltering could be eliminated.⁹ The WHO 2025 Global Nutrition Targets¹⁰ and Sustainable Development Goal 2.2.1 propose to reduce stunting prevalence among children under 5 years from 2012 levels by 40% by 2025.¹¹

170

171 In low-resource settings, the first 1000 days of life – including the prenatal period – is considered the 172 critical window in which to intervene to prevent stunting.¹² Intrauterine growth restriction and preterm 173 birth are strongly associated with stunting at 24 months of age.¹³ Most linear growth faltering occurs by 174 age 2 years, and 70% of absolute length deficits by age 5 years occur before age 2 years.⁶ Children who 175 experience linear growth faltering prior to prior to age 2 years can experience catch-up growth at older 176 ages, particularly with improvements to their nutrition, health, and environment.^{14–18} However, the 177 extent of catch-up growth is associated with the timing and extent of early life linear growth faltering.¹⁹

Granular information about the age of linear growth faltering onset and its persistence in early life will best inform when and how to intervene with preventive measures. Yet, most studies of the global epidemiology of stunting have used nationally representative, cross-sectional surveys – predominantly Demographic Health Surveys (DHS) – to estimate age-specific stunting prevalence.^{15,20–22} Analyses of cross-sectional studies cannot identify longitudinal patterns of linear growth faltering or reversal. Further, they may be subject to survivor bias and fail to include those children most vulnerable to undernutrition. Few studies have estimated age-specific incidence within the first two years of life.^{23–27}

- We estimated linear growth faltering incidence and reversal and linear growth velocity in 32
 longitudinal cohorts in LMICs with multiple, frequent measurements. The analysis provides new insights
 into the timing of onset and duration of linear growth faltering, with important implications for
 interventions. We found that linear growth faltering occurs very early in the prenatal and postnatal
 phase before age 6 months, when most postnatal linear growth interventions begin. Our findings
 confirm the importance of the first 1,000 days as a critical window to intervene to prevent linear growth
 faltering but motivate a renewed focus on prenatal and early postnatal interventions.
- 195 **Pooled longitudinal analyses**
- 196

194

197 Here, we report a pooled analysis of 32 longitudinal cohorts from 14 LMICs in South Asia, Sub-198 Saharan Africa, and Latin America followed between 1987 and 2017. Our objective was to estimate age-199 specific incidence and reversal of stunting and linear growth velocity from 0 to 24 months. Companion 200 articles report results for child wasting (weight-for-length Z-score < 2 standard deviations below the 201 reference median)²⁸ and household, maternal, and child-level risk factors associated with linear growth faltering.²⁹ These data were aggregated by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation Knowledge Integration 202 203 (ki) initiative and comprise approximately 100 longitudinal studies on child birth, growth and 204 development.³⁰ We included cohorts from the database that met five inclusion criteria: 1) conducted in 205 LMICs; 2) had a median year of birth in 1990 or later; 3) enrolled children between birth and age 24 206 months and measured their length and weight repeatedly over time; 4) did not restrict enrollment to 207 acutely ill children; and 5) collected anthropometry measurements at least every 3 months (Extended 208 Data Fig 1). These criteria ensured we could rigorously evaluate the timing and onset of stunting among 209 children who were broadly representative of general populations in LMICs. Thirty-two cohorts met

inclusion criteria, including 52,640 children and 412,458 total measurements from 1987 to 2017 (Fig 1,

Extended Data Tables 1-2). Cohorts were located in South Asia (N=17 cohorts in 4 countries), Africa (N=7

212 in 6 countries), Latin America (N=7 in 3 countries), and Eastern Europe (N=1) (Extended Data Fig 2). 21 213 cohorts measured children at least monthly, and 11 measured children every 3 months. Cohort sample 214 sizes varied from 119 to 14,074 children. In most cohorts, over 80% of enrolled children had LAZ 215 measurements at each age of measurement (Extended Data Figs 3-4). 216 We calculated length-for-age Z-scores (LAZ) using WHO 2006 growth standards.³¹ We dropped 859 217 of 413,317 measurements (0.2%) because LAZ was unrealistic (> 6 or < -6 Z), and we defined stunting as 218 LAZ < -2 and severe stunting as LAZ < -3.³¹ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates that pool across 219

- 220 cohorts used random effects models fit with restricted maximum likelihood estimation.^{32,33} Within each cohort the monthly mean LAZ ranged from -3.06 to +1.31, and the monthly proportion stunted ranged 221 222 from 0% to 91% (Fig 1).
- 223

210

211

224 To assess ki cohort representativeness, we compared LAZ from cohorts with LAZ for children 0-24 225 months of age in contemporary population-based, cross-sectional DHS data in the same countries. ki 226 cohorts and DHS Z-score distributions were similar (Fig 2a). Mean LAZ by age was generally lower in ki 227 cohorts than in DHS surveys, especially in South Asia, but was slightly higher at certain ages in two 228 Peruvian cohorts (Fig 2b).

229

230 Linear growth faltering as a whole population condition

231

232 In approximately half of cohorts, the 95th percentile of the LAZ distribution dropped below 0 by age 15 months (Extended Data Fig 5). This pattern is consistent with the characterization of linear growth 233 234 faltering as a "whole population" condition.²¹ In most cohorts, as children aged, LAZ distributions shifted 235 downwards (Extended Data Fig 6), and standard deviations and skewness were similar across ages 236 (Extended Data Fig 7).

- 238 Onset of stunting in early life
- 239

237

240 To measure the timing of stunting onset, we classified a child as a new incident case in three-month 241 age periods if their LAZ dropped below -2 for the first time in that age period. The percentage of 242 children that were stunted at birth ranged from 0.3% to 42% in each cohort and was 13% overall (Fig. 243 3a). The percentage that experienced incident stunting onset between birth and 3 months ranged from 244 6% to 47% in each cohort and was 16% overall. Children stunted between birth and 3 months accounted 245 for 23% of all children who experienced stunting by age 24 months (69% of children). Very early life 246 stunting onset was most common in South Asia. Trends were similar for severe stunting (https://child-247 growth.github.io/stunting/severe-stunting.html).

248

249 In an exploratory analysis, we stratified age-specific mean LAZ by age of stunting onset among 250 children in monthly measured cohorts and observed three subgroups whose mean LAZ followed 251 statistically different trajectories (Fig 3b). 21% of all children were born with mean LAZ < -1, and their 252 mean LAZ stabilized around -2 from age 1 month onward, with differences at birth in this group 253 narrowing over time, likely via regression to the mean. 14% of children were born with mean LAZ 254 between -1 and 0 at birth, and in these children, mean LAZ approached -2 at subsequent ages. The 255 remaining 65% never met the criteria for stunting; yet, among these children, mean LAZ was between – 256 0.5 and 0 at birth, and it declined steadily, reaching close to -1 by age 15 months. A companion article 257 reports characteristics that increase risk of earlier versus later growth faltering.³⁰

258

260

259 Stunting reversal and relapse

261 We hypothesized that 1) lower than average linear growth (LAZ <0) would persist among children who experienced stunting reversal (i.e., LAZ increased from below -2 to above -2), and 2) children who 262 263 experienced stunting reversal would experience stunting relapse at later ages. To test these hypotheses, 264 we classified a child's change in stunting status from birth to 15 months among monthly-measured 265 cohorts (measurement frequency beyond 15 months was less consistent). The proportion previously 266 stunted who were either reversed or no longer stunted at 15 months was 16% (Fig 4a). New incidence of 267 stunting was highest at birth and declined steadily to 3.3% per month by age 4 months (Fig 4b). 268 Incidence rates of new and relapse stunting exceeded rates of reversal at all ages (Fig 4b). The 269 proportion with stunting relapse following reversal ranged from 2-3.5% from ages 6 to 15 months. 270 Within each cohort, 0.5% to 10% of children experienced stunting reversal each month, and 0.1% to 11% 271 of experienced stunting relapse each month (Fig 4b).

272

273 Among children who experienced stunting reversal, we summarized the LAZ distribution at older 274 ages. We then estimated the mean difference in LAZ measured at older ages compared to when stunting 275 was reversed. At the time of stunting reversal, the LAZ distribution mode was close to the -2 cutoff (Fig 276 4c, Extended Data Fig 8). As children aged, LAZ distributions gradually shifted downwards, illustrating 277 that linear growth deficits continued to accumulate. Among children who experienced stunting reversal 278 before 6 months, mean difference in LAZ 9 months later was -0.69 (95% CI -0.84, -0.55; cohort-specific 279 range: -1.04, -0.22) (Fig 4d). Children who were older at the time of reversal experienced larger decline 280 in subsequent LAZ compared to younger children (Fig 4d). Overall, improvements in LAZ following 281 stunting reversal were neither sustained nor large enough to erase linear growth deficits and did not 282 resemble a biological recovery process for most children.

283

285

284 Growth velocity by age and sex

286 We defined linear growth velocity as the change in length between two time points divided by the 287 number of months between the time points (cm/month). We also estimated within-child rates of LAZ 288 change per month, which compares changes in a child's length relative to the WHO standard over time. 289 After 6 months, changes in length and LAZ within-child were minimal. From 0-3 months, cohort-specific length velocity ranged from below the 1st percentile of the WHO standard to above the 50th for boys and 290 291 above the 75th percentile for girls (Fig 5a). At subsequent ages, length velocity in each cohort was mostly between the 15th and 50th percentiles of the WHO standard, except in one cohort in Belarus, which had 292 293 higher length velocity. Larger deficits at the youngest ages were consistent with highest incidence of 294 stunting from birth to age 3 months (Fig 3a). The difference in LAZ within child per month was largest 295 from 0-3 months; after age 3 months, the mean change in LAZ within child was <0.3 between different 296 age intervals (Fig 5b). Generally, velocity within age was higher in Latin America than in South Asia and 297 Africa (Extended Data Fig 8).

298

299 Discussion

This large-scale analysis of 32 longitudinal cohorts from LMICs revealed new insights into the timing,
 persistence, and recurrence of linear growth faltering from birth to age 2 years. Prior cross-sectional
 studies found that stunting prevalence increased gradually with age.^{15,20–22} In contrast, we found that
 overall, 13% of children were born stunted, 25% of children experienced stunting onset between birth
 and 6 months, and 31% experienced onset from 6 to 24 months (Fig 3, Fig 4b). Most children who

experienced stunting reversal continued to experience linear growth deficits, and over 20% were
 stunted again at later measurements (Fig 4). Even among children who were never met criteria for
 stunting before age 2 years, mean LAZ steadily declined with age (Fig 3b). Our findings reinforce that
 linear growth faltering among children in LMICs is a whole-population phenomenon, with both stunted
 and not stunted children experiencing suboptimal growth trajectories in early life.²¹

311

312 Our findings that 13% of children were stunted at birth and that the birth prevalence was 20% in 313 South Asia underscores the importance of pre-pregnancy and prenatal interventions to reduce stunting, especially in South Asia where at-birth stunting was 24%. These interventions include maternal 314 micronutrient and macronutrient supplementation,^{34,35} increasing women's autonomy and education,³⁶ 315 316 reducing adolescent pregnancies in LMICs by delaying the age of marriage and first pregnancy,³⁷ and promoting family planning.³⁸ Interventions to prevent prenatal infections, such as intermittent 317 318 preventive treatment for malaria, may also increase fetal linear growth in regions where such infections 319 co-occur with linear growth faltering.³⁹

320

321 In this study, 25% of children became stunted between birth and age 6 months, yet few child 322 nutrition interventions are recommended by the World Health Organization in this age range. In the 323 neonatal period, those interventions include delayed cord clamping, neonatal vitamin K administration, 324 and kangaroo mother care.⁴⁰ Beyond the neonatal period, the sole recommended intervention is exclusive breastfeeding,⁴⁰ which significantly reduces the risk of mortality and morbidity but has not 325 been found to reduce infant stunting.^{4,41–44} Additional research is needed to identify interventions that 326 327 prevent linear growth faltering between birth and 6 months, including nutritional support of the lactating parent and the vulnerable infant.⁴⁵ Interventions may need to focus on upstream risk factors, 328 329 such as maternal pre-conception and prenatal health and nutrition, and microbiota.

330

We found that 31% of children became stunted during complementary feeding (age 6-24 months). Meta-analyses evaluating the effectiveness of interventions during this phase on linear growth have reported modest impacts of lipid-based nutrient supplements,⁴⁶ modest or no impact of micronutrient supplementation,⁴⁷ and no impact of water and sanitation improvements, deworming, or maternal education.⁴⁷ The dearth of effective postnatal interventions to improve linear growth motivates renewed efforts to identify alternative, possibly multisectoral interventions, and to improve intervention targeting and implementation.^{48,49}

338

339 There were several limitations to the analyses. First, length estimates may be subject to 340 measurement error; stunting reversal and relapse analyses that rely on thresholds are more sensitive to 341 such errors. However, detailed assessments of measurement quality indicated that measurement 342 quality was high across cohorts (https://child-growth.github.io/stunting/QA.html). Second, estimates of 343 LAZ at birth using the WHO Child Growth Standards overestimate stunting in preterm infants. Accurate 344 estimates of gestational age were not available in included cohorts; seven cohorts measured gestational 345 age by recall of last menstrual period or newborn examination, and one cohort measured gestational 346 age by ultrasound. In a sensitivity analysis adjusting for gestational age pooling across cohorts that 347 measured it, stunting prevalence at birth was 1% lower (Extended Data Fig 9). Third, included cohorts 348 were not inclusive of all countries in the regions presented here, and linear growth faltering was more 349 common in included African and South Asian cohorts than in corresponding contemporary 350 representative surveys. The consistency between attained linear growth patterns in this and nationally representative DHS surveys (Fig 2) suggests that overall, our results have reasonably good external 351 352 validity. For growth velocity, the cohorts represented populations close to the 25th percentile of 353 international standards (Figure 5a). Fourth, the included cohorts measured child length every 1-3

354 months, and ages of measurement varied, so different numbers of children and cohorts contributed to

each estimate. However, when we repeated analyses in cohorts with monthly measurements from birth

to 24 months (n=18 cohorts in 10 countries, 10,830 children), results were similar (https://child-

357 growth.github.io/stunting/monthly.html). Finally, our inferences are limited to the first two years of life

358 since very few included studies measured children at older ages. Other studies, however, have found

359 that stunting status in early life is associated with health outcomes later in life, and the timing and

extent of early life linear growth faltering is associated with the magnitude of later catch up growth.^{6–}
 ^{8,16,17,19}

362

363 <u>Conclusion</u>

Current WHO 2025 Global Nutrition Targets and Sustainable Development Goal 2.2.1 aim to reduce stunting prevalence among children under 5 years by 2025. Our findings suggest that defining stunting targets at earlier ages (e.g., stunting by 3 or 6 months) would help focus attention on the period when interventions may be most impactful. In addition, our results motivate renewed efforts to identify effective prenatal and early postnatal interventions and improve delivery of early life interventions to

369 prevent linear growth faltering among children in LMICs.

371 References

- 372 1. WHO | Joint child malnutrition estimates Levels and trends (2019 edition).
- 373 http://www.who.int/nutgrowthdb/estimates2018/en/ (2019).
- 2. Kinyoki, D. K. et al. Mapping child growth failure across low- and middle-income countries. Nature
- **577**, 231–234 (2020).
- 376 3. McDonald, C. M. et al. The effect of multiple anthropometric deficits on child mortality: meta-
- analysis of individual data in 10 prospective studies from developing countries. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 97,

378 896–901 (2013).

4. Black, R. E. et al. Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-

380 income countries. *The Lancet* **382**, 427–451 (2013).

381 5. Olofin, I. *et al.* Associations of Suboptimal Growth with All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality in

382 Children under Five Years: A Pooled Analysis of Ten Prospective Studies. *PLoS ONE* **8**, (2013).

383 6. de Onis, M. & Branca, F. Childhood stunting: a global perspective. *Matern. Child. Nutr.* **12**, 12–26

384 (2016).

- Prendergast, A. J. & Humphrey, J. H. The stunting syndrome in developing countries. *Paediatr. Int. Child Health* 34, 250–265 (2014).
- 387 8. Adair, L. S. et al. Associations of linear growth and relative weight gain during early life with adult

388 health and human capital in countries of low and middle income: findings from five birth cohort

389 studies. *Lancet* **382**, 525–534 (2013).

- 390 9. Fink, G. et al. Schooling and wage income losses due to early-childhood growth faltering in
- developing countries: national, regional, and global estimates. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **104**, 104–112

392 (2016).

393 10. World Health Organization. Global Targets 2025. WHO http://www.who.int/nutrition/global-target394 2025/en/.

- 395 11. United Nations. Goal 2. Sustainable Development Knowledge Platform.
- 396 https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/sdg2.
- 12. Martorell, R. Improved nutrition in the first 1000 days and adult human capital and health. Am. J.
- 398 Hum. Biol. **29**, e22952 (2017).
- 13. Christian, P. et al. Risk of childhood undernutrition related to small-for-gestational age and preterm
- 400 birth in low- and middle-income countries. *Int J Epidemiol* **42**, 1340–1355 (2013).
- 401 14. Dewey, K. G. & Begum, K. Long-term consequences of stunting in early life. *Matern. Child. Nutr.* 7,
- 402 5–18 (2011).
- 403 15. Leroy, J. L., Ruel, M., Habicht, J.-P. & Frongillo, E. A. Using height-for-age differences (HAD) instead
- 404 of height-for-age z-scores (HAZ) for the meaningful measurement of population-level catch-up in
- 405 linear growth in children less than 5 years of age. *BMC Pediatr.* **15**, 145 (2015).
- 406 16. Aizawa, T. Trajectory of inequality of opportunity in child height growth: Evidence from the Young
- 407 Lives study. *Demogr. Res.* **42**, 165–202 (2020).
- 408 17. Georgiadis, A. et al. Growth recovery and faltering through early adolescence in low- and middle-
- 409 income countries: Determinants and implications for cognitive development. Soc. Sci. Med. 179, 81–
- 410 90 (2017).
- 411 18. Prentice, A. M. *et al.* Critical windows for nutritional interventions against stunting123. *Am. J. Clin.*412 *Nutr.* 97, 911–918 (2013).
- 413 19. Gausman, J., Kim, R. & Subramanian, S. V. Stunting trajectories from post-infancy to adolescence in
 414 Ethiopia, India, Peru, and Vietnam. *Matern. Child. Nutr.* 15, (2019).
- 415 20. Victora, C. G., Onis, M. de, Hallal, P. C., Blössner, M. & Shrimpton, R. Worldwide Timing of Growth
- 416 Faltering: Revisiting Implications for Interventions. *Pediatrics* **125**, e473–e480 (2010).

- 417 21. Roth, D. E. *et al.* Early childhood linear growth faltering in low-income and middle-income countries
- 418 as a whole-population condition: analysis of 179 Demographic and Health Surveys from 64 countries
- 419 (1993–2015). *Lancet Glob. Health* **5**, e1249–e1257 (2017).
- 420 22. Leroy, J. L., Ruel, M., Habicht, J.-P. & Frongillo, E. A. Linear growth deficit continues to accumulate
- 421 beyond the first 1000 days in low- and middle-income countries: global evidence from 51 national
- 422 surveys. J. Nutr. **144**, 1460–1466 (2014).
- 423 23. Kumar, R., Deshmukh, P. R. & Garg, B. S. Incidence and Correlates of "Growth Faltering" Among 0–6
- 424 y Children: A Panel Study from Rural Wardha. *Indian J. Pediatr.* **79**, 333–341 (2012).
- 425 24. Lundeen, E. A. et al. Growth faltering and recovery in children aged 1–8 years in four low- and
- 426 middle-income countries: Young Lives. *Public Health Nutr.* **17**, 2131–2137 (2014).
- 427 25. Mangani, C. et al. Effect of complementary feeding with lipid-based nutrient supplements and corn-
- 428 soy blend on the incidence of stunting and linear growth among 6- to 18-month-old infants and

429 children in rural Malawi. *Matern. Child. Nutr.* **11**, 132–143 (2015).

- 430 26. Maleta, K., Virtanen, S. M., Espo, M., Kulmala, T. & Ashorn, P. Childhood malnutrition and its
- 431 predictors in rural Malawi. *Paediatr. Perinat. Epidemiol.* **17**, 384–390 (2003).
- 432 27. Mongkolchati, A., Thinkhamrop, B., Mo-Suwan, L., Chittchang, U. & Choprapawon, C. Prevalence
- 433 and incidence of child stunting from birth to two years of life in Thai children: based on the
- 434 Prospective Cohort Study of Thai Children (PCTC). J. Med. Assoc. Thail. Chotmaihet Thangphaet 93,
- 435 1368–1378 (2010).
- 436 28. Mertens, A. *et al.* (submitted). Child wasting and concurrent stunting in low- and middle-income
 437 countries. (2020).
- 438 29. Mertens, A. *et al.* (submitted). Causes and consequences of child growth faltering in low- and
 439 middle-income countries. (2020).

- 440 30. Peppard, T. *et al.* (submitted). Combined longitudinal growth cohorts from infants born in South
- 441 Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America. (2020).
- 442 31. WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group. WHO child growth standards: length/height-for-
- 443 age, weight-for-age, weight-for-length, weight-for-height and body mass index-for-age: Methods
- 444 and development. *Geneva World Health Organ.* 312 pages (2006).
- 445 32. Viechtbauer, W. Bias and Efficiency of Meta-Analytic Variance Estimators in the Random-Effects
- 446 Model. J. Educ. Behav. Stat. **30**, 261–293 (2005).
- 447 33. Raudenbush, S. W. Analyzing Effect Sizes: Random-Effects Models. in *The Handbook of Research*
- 448 Synthesis and Meta-Analysis 295–315 (Russell Sage Foundation, 2009).
- 449 34. Das, J. K. et al. Lipid-based nutrient supplements for maternal, birth, and infant developmental
- 450 outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **8**, CD012610 (2018).
- 451 35. Lassi, Z. S., Das, J. K., Zahid, G., Imdad, A. & Bhutta, Z. A. Impact of education and provision of
- 452 complementary feeding on growth and morbidity in children less than 2 years of age in developing
- 453 countries: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health* **13**, S13 (2013).
- 454 36. Carlson, G. J., Kordas, K. & Murray-Kolb, L. E. Associations between women's autonomy and child
- 455 nutritional status: a review of the literature. *Matern. Child. Nutr.* **11**, 452–482 (2015).
- 456 37. Svanemyr, J., Chandra-Mouli, V., Raj, A., Travers, E. & Sundaram, L. Research priorities on ending
 457 child marriage and supporting married girls. *Reprod. Health* **12**, 80 (2015).
- 458 38. Dhingra, S. & Pingali, P. L. Effects of short birth spacing on birth-order differences in child stunting:
- 459 Evidence from India. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **118**, (2021).
- 460 39. Luntamo, M., Kulmala, T., Cheung, Y. B., Maleta, K. & Ashorn, P. The effect of antenatal monthly
- 461 sulphadoxine–pyrimethamine, alone or with azithromycin, on foetal and neonatal growth faltering
- 462 in Malawi: a randomised controlled trial. *Trop. Med. Int. Health* **18**, 386–397 (2013).

- 463 40. World Health Organization. *Reducing Stunting in Children: Equity Considerations for Achieving the*
- 464 *Global Nutrition Targets 2025*. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO (2018).
- 465 41. Victora, C. G. *et al.* Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong
- 466 effect. *The Lancet* **387**, 475–490 (2016).
- 467 42. Bhutta, Z. A. *et al.* What works? Interventions for maternal and child undernutrition and survival.
- 468 *The Lancet* **371**, 417–440 (2008).
- 469 43. Giugliani, E. R. J., Horta, B. L., Mola, C. L. de, Lisboa, B. O. & Victora, C. G. Effect of breastfeeding
- 470 promotion interventions on child growth: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Paediatr.
- 471 **104**, 20–29 (2015).
- 472 44. Eriksen, K. G. et al. Following the World Health Organization's Recommendation of Exclusive
- 473 Breastfeeding to 6 Months of Age Does Not Impact the Growth of Rural Gambian Infants. J. Nutr.
- 474 **147**, 248–255 (2017).
- 475 45. Christian, P. *et al.* The need to study human milk as a biological system. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **113**, 1063–
 476 1072 (2021).
- 477 46. Dewey, K. G. *et al.* Characteristics that modify the effect of small-quantity lipid-based nutrient
- 478 supplementation on child growth: an individual participant data meta-analysis of randomized
- 479 controlled trials. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* nqab278 (2021) doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqab278.
- 480 47. Park, J. J. H. et al. Association of Early Interventions With Birth Outcomes and Child Linear Growth in
- 481 Low-Income and Middle-Income Countries: Bayesian Network Meta-analyses of Randomized Clinical
- 482 Trials. JAMA Netw. Open **2**, e197871–e197871 (2019).
- 483 48. Casanovas, M. del C. et al. Multi-sectoral interventions for healthy growth Carmen Casanovas -
- 484 2013 Maternal & amp; Child Nutrition Wiley Online Library. *Matern. Child. Nutr.* **9**, 46–57 (2013).

- 485 49. Ruel, M., Alderman, H. & Maternal and Child Nutrition Study Group. Nutrition-sensitive
- 486 interventions and programmes: how can they help to accelerate progress in improving maternal and
- 487 child nutrition? *Lancet* **382**, 536–51 (2013).
- 488 50. Perumal, N. *et al.* Effect of correcting for gestational age at birth on population prevalence of early
- 489 childhood undernutrition. *Emerg. Themes Epidemiol.* **15**, 3 (2018).

1 Materials and Methods

2

3 Study designs and inclusion criteria

We included all longitudinal observational studies and randomized trials available through the *ki* project
on April 2018 that met 5 inclusion criteria (Extended Data Fig 1).

- 6
- 7 (1) Studies that were conducted in low- or middle-income countries. Children in these countries have
 8 the largest burden linear growth faltering and are the key target population for preventive
 9 interventions.
- 10 (2) Studies that had a median year of birth in 1990 or later. This restriction resulted in a set of studies
 11 spanning the period from 1987 to 2017 and excluded older studies that are less applicable to
 12 current policy dialogues.
- (3) Studies that enrolled children between birth and age 24 months and measured their length and
 weight repeatedly over time. We were principally interested in growth faltering during the first
 1,000 days (including gestation), thought to be the key window for linear growth faltering.
- (4) Studies that did not restrict enrollment to acutely ill children. Our focus on descriptive analyses led
 us to target, to the extent possible, the general population. We thus excluded some studies that
 exclusively enrolled acutely ill children, such as children who presented to hospital with acute
 diarrhea or who were severely malnourished.
- Studies that collected anthropometry measurements at least every 3 months to ensure that we
 adequately captured incident episodes and recovery.
- 22

23 Thirty-two longitudinal cohorts in 14 countries followed between 1987 and 2017 met inclusion criteria.

- 24 There was no evidence of secular trends in LAZ (<u>https://child-growth.github.io/stunting/secular-</u>
- 25 <u>trends.html</u>). We calculated cohort measurement frequency as the median days between
- 26 measurements. If randomized trials found effects on growth within the intervention arms, the analyses
- 27 were limited to the control arm. We included all measurements under 24 months of age, assuming
- 28 months were 30.4167 days. We excluded extreme measurements of LAZ > 6 or < –6 following WHO
- 29 growth standard recommendations.¹ In many studies, investigators measured length shortly after birth
- 30 because deliveries were at home, but the majority of measurements were within the first 7 days of life
- 31 (<u>https://child-growth.github.io/stunting/age-meas.html</u>); for this reason, we grouped measurements in
- the first 7 days as birth measurements. Gestational age was measured in only eight cohorts (7 cohorts
- 33 measured it by recall of last menstrual period or newborn examination; 1 measured it by ultrasound);
- 34 thus, we did not attempt to exclude preterm infants from the analyses.
- 35

36 Quality assurance

- 37 The *ki* data team assessed the quality of individual cohort datasets by checking the range of each
- variable for outliers and values that are not consistent with expectation. Z-scores were calculated using
- the median of replicate measurements and the 2006 WHO Child Growth Standards.¹ In a small number
- 40 of cases a child had two anthropometry records at the same age, in which case we used the mean of the
- 41 records. Analysts reviewed bivariate scatter plots to check for expected correlations (e.g., length by
- 42 height, length/height/weight by age, length/height/weight by corresponding Z-score). Once the
- 43 individual cohort data was mapped to a single harmonized dataset, analysts conducted an internal peer
- 44 review of published articles for completeness and accuracy. Analysts contacted contributing
- 45 investigators to seek clarification about potentially erroneous values in the data and revised the data as
- 46 needed.
- 47

48 **Outcome definitions**

- 49 We used the following summary measures in the analysis:
- 50

51 Incident stunting episodes were defined as a change in LAZ from above –2 Z in the prior measurement to

- 52 below –2 Z in the current measurement. Similarly, we defined severe stunting episodes using the –3 Z
- 53 cutoff. Children were considered at risk of stunting at birth, so children born stunted were considered
- 54 to have an incident episode of stunting at birth. Children were also assumed to be at risk of stunting at
- 55 the first measurement in non-birth cohorts and trials. Children whose first measurement occurred after
- birth were assumed to have experienced stunting onset at the age halfway between birth and the first
- 57 measurement. The vast majority of children were less than 5 days of age at their first measurement life
- 58 (https://child-growth.github.io/stunting/age-meas.html).
- 59
- 60 <u>Incidence proportion</u> We calculated the incidence proportion of stunting during a defined age range
- 61 (e.g. 3-6 months) as the proportion of children at risk of becoming stunted who became stunted during
- 62 the age range (the onset of new episodes).
- 63
- 64 <u>Changes in stunting status</u> were classified using the following categories: "Never stunted": children with
- LAZ ≥–2 at previous ages and the current age. "No longer stunted": children who previously reversed
- their stunting status with LAZ \geq -2 at the current age. "Stunting reversal": children with LAZ <-2 at the
- 67 previous age and LAZ \geq -2 at the current age. "Newly stunted": children whose LAZ was previously
- always ≥ -2 and with LAZ <-2 at the current age. "Stunting relapse": children who were previously
- 69 stunted with LAZ \geq -2 at the previous age and LAZ <-2 at the current age. "Still stunted": children whose
- 70 LAZ was <-2 at the previous and current age.
- 71
- 72 <u>Growth velocity</u> was calculated as the change in length in centimeters between two time points divided
- by the number of months between the time points. We compared the change in length in centimeters
- per month measures to the WHO Child Growth Standards for linear growth velocity.² We also estimated
- 75 within-child rates of change in LAZ per month.
- 76

77 Measurement frequency

- 78 Analyses of incidence and growth velocity (Figs 3 and 5) included cohorts with at least quarterly
- 79 measurements in order to include as many cohorts as possible. Analyses of stunting reversal (Fig 4) were
- 80 restricted to cohorts with at least monthly measurements to allow evaluation of changes in stunting
- 81 status with higher resolution.
- 82

83 Subgroups of interest

- We stratified the above outcomes within the following subgroups: child age, grouped into one- or threemonth intervals, (depending on the analysis); the region of the world (Asia, sub-Saharan Africa, Latin
 America); child sex, and the combinations of those categories.
- 87

88 Statistical analysis

- 89 All analyses were conducted in R version 3.4.2.³
- 90
- 91 Estimation of mean LAZ by age in Demographic and Health Surveys and ki cohorts
- 92
- 93 We downloaded standard DHS individual recode files for each country from the DHS program
- 94 website (<u>https://dhsprogram.com/</u>). We used the most recent standard DHS datasets for the individual
- women's, household, and height and weight datasets from each country. We obtained variables for

country code, sample weight, cluster number, primary sampling unit, and design stratification from the
women's individual survey recode files. From the height and weight dataset, we used standard recode
variables corresponding to the 2006 WHO growth standards for height-for-age.

- After excluding missing observations, restricting to measurements of children 0-24 months of age,
 and restricting to z-scores within WHO-defined plausible values, surveys collected from 1996 to 2018 in
 countries that overlapped with *ki* cohorts with the exception of Guinea-Bissau because the DHS survey
 was not conducted there during the study period (Extended Data Table 3).
- 104

We classified countries into regions (South Asia, Latin America, and Africa) using the World Health
 Organization regional designations with the exception of the classification for Pakistan, which we
 included in South Asia to be consistent with prior linear growth studies using DHS.⁴ One included cohort
 was from Belarus, and we chose to exclude it from region-stratified analyses as it was the only European
 study.

110

111 We estimated age-stratified mean from ages 0 to 24 months within each DHS survey, accounting for 112 the complex survey design and sampling weights. We then pooled estimates of mean LAZ for each age in 113 months across countries using a fixed effects estimator (details below). We computed two sets of 114 pooled results: 1) DHS measuring children 0-24 months in countries that overlapped with ki study countries and 2) all DHS countries measuring children 0-24 months in each geographic region (as in Fig 115 116 2) and (https://child-growth.github.io/stunting/DHS.html). We compared DHS estimates with mean LAZ 117 by age in the ki study cohorts, which we estimated using penalized cubic-splines with bandwidth chosen 118 using generalized cross-validation.⁵ We used splines to estimate age-dependent mean LAZ in the ki study 119 cohorts to smooth any age-dependent variation in the mean caused by less frequently measured 120 cohorts.

121

122 Distribution models

123 To investigate how the mean, standard deviation, and skewness of LAZ distributions varied by age, 124 we fit linear models with skew-elliptical error terms using maximum likelihood estimation. We fit

- 125 models separately by cohort.
- 126
- 127 Fixed and random effects models

Several analyses pooled results across study cohorts. We estimated each age-specific mean using a separate estimation and pooling step. We first estimated the mean in each cohort, and then pooled agespecific means across cohorts, while allowing for a cohort-level random effect. This approach enabled us to include the most information possible for each age-specific mean, while accommodating slightly different measurement schedules across the cohorts. Each cohort's data only contributed to LAZ or stunting incidence estimates at the ages for which it contributed data.

135

The primary method of pooling was using random effects models. This modeling approach assumes that studies are randomly drawn from a hypothetical population of longitudinal studies that could have been conducted on children's linear growth in the past or future. We also fit fixed effects models as a sensitivity analysis (<u>https://child-growth.github.io/stunting/fixed-effects.html</u>); inferences about

- 140 estimates from fixed effects models are restricted to only the included studies.⁶
- 141

142 Random effects models assume that the true population outcomes θ are normally distributed with 143 mean μ and variance τ^2 (i.e., that $\theta \sim N(\mu, \tau^2)$). To estimate outcomes in this study, the random effects 144 model is defined as follows for each study in the set of i = 1, ..., k studies:

146
$$y_i = \mu + u_i + e_i$$
 (1)

147

145

148 where y_i is the observed outcome in study i, u_i is the random effect for study i, and e_i is the estimated 149 outcome for study i, and e_i is the sampling error within study i. The model assumes that $u_i \sim N(0, \tau^2)$ and 150 $e_i \sim N(0, v_i)$, where v_i is the study-specific sampling variance. We fit random effects models using the 151 restricted maximum likelihood estimator.^{7,8} If a model failed to converge, models were fit using a 152 maximum likelihood estimator instead. The estimate of μ is the estimated mean outcome in the 153 hypothetical population of studies (i.e., the estimated outcome pooling across study cohorts). 154

We also fit inverse variance weighted fixed effects models defined as follows:

 $\overline{\theta}_w = \sum_{i=1}^k w_i \theta_i \bigg/ \sum_{i=1}^k w_i \tag{2}$

157 158

where $\overline{\theta}_w$ is the weighted mean outcome in the set of k included studies, and w_i is a study-specific weight, defined as the inverse of the study-specific sampling variance v_i . $\overline{\theta}_w$ is the estimated mean outcome in the specific studies included in this analysis.

162

For both types of outcomes, we pooled binary outcomes on the logit scale and then backtransformed estimates after pooling to constrain confidence intervals between 0 and 1. While the probit transformation more closely resembles common distributions for physiologic variables, in practice the logit transformation produces nearly identical estimates and is more convenient for estimation. For cohort-stratified analyses, which did not pool across studies, we estimated 95% confidence intervals using the normal approximation (<u>https://child-growth.github.io/stunting/cohort.html</u>).

170 <u>Estimation of incidence</u>

171 We estimated incidence as defined above in 3-month age intervals within specific cohorts and 172 pooled within region and across all studies (Fig 3). Pooled analyses used random effects models for the 173 primary analysis and fixed effects models for sensitivity analyses as described above.

174

175 <u>Estimation of changes in stunting status</u>

To assess fluctuations in stunting status over time, we conducted an analysis among cohorts with at least monthly measurements from birth through age 15 months to provide sufficient granularity to capture changes in stunting status. We estimated the proportion of children in each stunting category defined above under "Changes in stunting status" at each month from birth to 15 months. To ensure that percentages summed to 100%, we present results that were not pooled using random effects. Analyses using random effects produced similar results (<u>https://child-growth.github.io/stunting/fixedeffects.html#changes-in-stunting-status-by-age</u>).

To examine the distribution of LAZ among children with stunting reversal, we created subgroups of children who experienced stunting reversal at ages 3, 6, 9, and 12 months and then summarized the distribution of the children's LAZ at ages 6, 9, 12, and 15 months. Within each age interval, we estimated the mean difference in LAZ at older ages compared to the age of stunting reversal and estimated 95%

- 188 confidence intervals for the mean difference. Pooled analyses used random effects models for the
- 189 primary analysis and fixed effects models for sensitivity analyses as described above.
- 190

191 Linear growth velocity

We estimated linear growth velocity within 3-month age intervals stratified by sex, pooling across study cohorts (Fig 5) as well as stratified by geographic region (Extended Data Fig 9) and study cohort (<u>https://child-growth.github.io/stunting/cohort.html#length-velocity-by-age-and-sex</u>). Analyses included cohorts that measured children at least quarterly. We included measurements within a two-week window around each age in months to account for variation in the age of each length measurement. Pooled analyses used random effects models for the primary analysis and fixed effects models for sensitivity analyses as described above (<u>https://child-growth.github.io/stunting/fixed-</u>

- 199 <u>effects.html#linear-growth-velocity-1</u>).
- 200

201 Sensitivity Analyses

202 We conducted three sensitivity analyses. First, to assess whether inclusion of PROBIT, the single 203 European cohort, influenced our overall pooled inference, we repeated analyses excluding the PROBIT 204 cohort. Results were very similar with and without the PROBIT cohort (<u>https://child-</u>

205 <u>growth.github.io/stunting/exclude-PROBIT.html</u>). Second to explore the influence of differing numbers

of cohorts contributing data at different ages, we conducted a sensitivity analysis in which we subset
 data to cohorts that measured anthropometry monthly from birth to 24 months (n=21 cohorts in 10

countries, 11,424 children (https://child-growth.github.io/stunting/monthly.html). Third, we compared

209 estimates pooled using random effects models presented in the main text with estimates pooled using

fixed effects inverse variance weighted models. The random effects approach was more conservative in

- 211 the presence of study heterogeneity (<u>https://child-growth.github.io/stunting/fixed-effects.html</u>).
- 212

213 Data and code availability: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the Bill 214 and Melinda Gates Foundation Knowledge Integration project upon reasonable request. Replication 215 scripts for this analysis are available here: <u>https://github.com/child-growth/ki-longitudinal-growth</u>.

216

217 Methods References

- 218 1. WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group. WHO child growth standards: length/height-for-
- age, weight-for-age, weight-for-length, weight-for-height and body mass index-for-age: Methods and
- 220 development. *Geneva World Health Organ.* 312 pages (2006).
- 221 2. WHO. WHO Child Growth Standards: Growth Velocity Based on Weight, Length and Head
- 222 Circumference: Methods and Development. (2009).
- 223 3. R Core Team. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. https://www.R-project.org/
- 224 (2019).
- 4. Victora, C. G., de Onis, M., Hallal, P. C., Blössner, M. & Shrimpton, R. Worldwide timing of growth
- faltering: revisiting implications for interventions. *Pediatrics* **125**, e473-480 (2010).

- 5. Wood, S. N., Pya, N. & Säfken, B. Smoothing Parameter and Model Selection for General Smooth
- 228 Models. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 111, 1548–1563 (2016).
- 6. Hedges, L. V. & Vevea, J. L. Fixed- and random-effects models in meta-analysis. *Psychol. Methods* 3,
- 230 486–504 (1998).
- 231 7. Viechtbauer, W. Bias and Efficiency of Meta-Analytic Variance Estimators in the Random-Effects
- 232 Model. J. Educ. Behav. Stat. **30**, 261–293 (2005).
- 233 8. Raudenbush, S. W. Analyzing Effect Sizes: Random-Effects Models. in The Handbook of Research

- 235
- 236
- 237

²³⁴ *Synthesis and Meta-Analysis* 295–315 (Russell Sage Foundation, 2009).

238 Acknowledgments

- 239 This research was financially supported by a global development grant (OPP1165144) from the Bill &
- 240 Melinda Gates Foundation to the University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA. We would also like to thank
- 241 the following collaborators on the included cohorts and trials for their contributions to study planning,
- data collection, and analysis: Muhammad Sharif, Sajjad Kerio, Ms. Urosa, Ms. Alveen, Shahneel Hussain,
- 243 Vikas Paudel (Mother and Infant Research Activities), Anthony Costello (University College London),
- 244 Benjamin Torun, Lindsey M Locks, Christine M McDonald, Roland Kupka, Ronald J Bosch, Rodrick
- 245 Kisenge, Said Aboud, Molin Wang, Azaduzzaman, Abu Ahmed Shamim, Rezaul Haque, Rolf Klemm,
- 246 Sucheta Mehra, Maithilee Mitra, Kerry Schulze, Sunita Taneja, Brinda Nayyar, Vandana Suri, Poonam
- 247 Khokhar, Brinda Nayyar, Poonam Khokhar, Jon E Rohde, Tivendra Kumar, Jose Martines, Maharaj K
- 248 Bhan, and all other members of the study staffs and field teams. We would also like to thank all study
- 249 participants and their families for their important contributions.
- 250

251 Author contributions

- 252 Conceptualization: J.B., A.M., J.M.C., K.H.B., P.C., B.F.A
- 253 Funding Acquisition: J.M.C., A.E.H., M.J.V., B.F.A.
- 254 Data curation: J.B., A.M., J.C., O.S., W.C., A.N., N.N.P., W.J., E.J., E.O.C., S.D., N.H., I.M., H.L., R.H., V.S.,
- 255 J.H., T.N.
- 256 Formal analyses: J.B., A.M., J.C., O.S., W.C., A.N., N.N.P., W.J., E.J., E.O.C., S.D., N.H., I.M., H.L., V.S., B.F.A
- 257 Methodology: J.B., A.M., J.M.C, J.C., O.S., N.H., I.M., A.E.H., M.J.V., K.H.B., P.C., B.F.A.
- 258 Visualization: J.B., A.M., A.N., N.N.P., S.D., A.S., J.C., R.H., E.J., K.H.B., P.C., B.F.A.
- 259 Writing Original Draft Preparation: J.B., A.M., B.F.A.
- 260 Writing Review & Editing: J.B., A.M., J.M.C., K.H.B., P.C., B.F.A., *ki* Child Growth Consortium members
- 261 262

263 <u>Competing interest declaration</u>

- 264 Thea Norman is an employee of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF). Kenneth H Brown and
- 265 Parul Christian are former employees of BMGF. Jeremy Coyle, Vishak Subramoney, Ryan Hafen, and
- 266 Jonas Häggström work as research contractors funded by the BMGF.
- 267

268 Additional information

- 269 Supplementary Information is available for this paper here: <u>https://child-growth.github.io/stunting/</u> 270
- 271 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Jade Benjamin-Chung
- 272 (jadebc@berkeley.edu) and Benjamin F. Arnold (ben.arnold@ucsf.edu).
- 273
- 274
- 275
- 276

Early childhood linear growth faltering in low- and middle-income countries

Manuscript figures



Figure 1 | Summaries of included *ki* cohorts. (a) Number of observations (1000s) by age in months. (b) Mean length-for-age Z-scores by age in months for each cohort. Cohorts are sorted by geographic region and mean length-for-age Z-score. (c) Number of observations contributed by each cohort. (d) Overall stunting prevalence in each cohort, defined as proportion of measurements with length-for-age z-score < -2.



Figure 2 | Length-for-age Z-scores by age and region. (a) Kernel density distributions of LAZ in DHS in countries that overlap with *ki* cohorts (black lines) and in each *ki* cohort (colored lines). (b) Mean length-for-age z-scores (LAZ) by age for Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) countries overlapping with *ki* cohorts (black lines) and pooled across *ki* longitudinal cohorts with at least quarterly measurement (colored lines) estimated with cubic splines. Shaded bands are approximate 95% simultaneous confidence intervals. The DHS survey was not conducted in Guinea-Bissau during the study period.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.09.20127001; this version posted October 21, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.



Figure 3 | Incidence of stunting and mean LAZ by age. (a) Proportion of children experiencing incident stunting onset overall (N=19-32 studies; N= 11,929-42,902 children) and stratified by region (Africa: N=4-8 studies, N = 5,529-15,837 children; Latin America: N=3-7 studies, N=413-1,528 children; South Asia 11-17studies, N=4,514-17,802 children). "0-3" includes age 2 days up to 3 months. Analyses include cohorts with at least quarterly measurements; vertical bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. Gray points indicate cohort-specific estimates. (b) Mean length-for-age Z-score (LAZ) stratified by age of incident stunting from birth to age 15 months (N=21 cohorts that measured children at least monthly between birth and age 15 months, N=11,243 children). "Never" includes children who did not become stunted by age 15 months. Shaded ribbons indicate 95% confidence intervals. Pooled results were derived from random effects models with restricted maximum likelihood estimation.



Figure 4| Stunting reversal and relapse. (a) Percentage of children with stunting reversal and relapse by age. (b) Incidence proportion of new stunting, stunting relapse, and stunting reversal by age. The black line presents estimates pooled using random effects with restricted maximum likelihood estimation. Colored lines indicate cohort-specific estimates. (c) Distribution of LAZ at subsequent measurements among children who experienced stunting reversal. (d) Mean difference in LAZ following stunting reversal at each subsequent age of measurement compared to the age of reversal. Panels a, c, d include data from 21 cohorts in 10 countries with at least monthly measurement (N =11,424). All panels contain data up to age 15 months because in most cohorts, measurements were less frequent above 15 months.



Figure 5 Linear growth velocity by age and sex. (a) Within-child difference in length in centimeters per month stratified by age among male (green line) and female (orange line) children; 25th percentile of the WHO Growth Velocity Standards (dashed black lines); and the 50th percentile (solid black line). Light gray lines indicate cohort-specific linear growth velocity curves. (b) Within-child difference in length-for-age Z-score per month by age and sex. Smaller partially transparent points indicate cohort-specific estimates. Both panels include 32 *ki* cohorts in 14 countries that measured children at least quarterly (n = 52,640 children) pooled using random effects models fit with restricted maximum likelihood estimation. Vertical bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Extended Data Figure 1 *ki* cohort selection. Analyses focused on longitudinal cohorts to enable the estimation of prospective incidence rates and growth velocity. In April 2018, there were 86 longitudinal studies on GHAP. From this set, we applied five inclusion criteria to select cohorts for analysis. Our rationale for each criterion follows. (1) Studies were conducted in lower income or middle-income countries. (2) Studies had a median year of birth in 1990 or later. (3) Studies measured length and weight between birth and age 24 months. (4) Studies did not restrict enrollment to acutely ill children. (5) Studies collected anthropometry measurements at least every 3 months. Each colored cell indicates a criterion that was met. For studies that met all inclusion criteria, all cells in their row are colored. The bars at the top of the plot show the number of observations in each study that met each inclusion criterion by region.



Extended Data Figure 2 | Stunting prevalence by geographic location of *ki* **cohorts.** Locations are approximate, represented as nation-level centroids and jittered slightly for display. The size of each centroid indicates the number of observations contributing to each estimate. The color of each centroid indicates the level of stunting prevalence.



Extended Data Figure 3 | Percentage of enrolled children measured in each *ki* cohort with quarterly measurements.



Extended Data Figure 4 | Percentage of enrolled children measured in each *ki* cohort with monthly measurements.



Extended Data Figure 5 | Mean, 5th and 95th percentile of length-for-age Z-score by age in *ki* longitudinal cohorts estimated with cubic splines in cohorts with at least monthly measurement. The shaded bands span the 5th to the 95th percentile of length-for-age Z-score in each cohort. The solid line indicates the mean in each cohort at each age (N=21 cohorts that measured children at least monthly, N=11,424 children).



Extended Data Figure 6 | Kernel density of length-for-age Z-score by age and cohort. In South Asia, includes data from 17 cohorts, <u>21</u>,223 children, and <u>159</u>,884 measurements. In Africa, includes 7 cohorts, <u>21</u>,671 children, and <u>164</u>,431 measurements. In Europe and Latin America, includes 8 cohorts, 9,746 children, and 88,143 measurements.



Extended Data Figure 7 | Parametric mean, standard deviation, and Pearson's index of skewness estimates by age and cohort. Estimates were obtained from linear models with skew-elliptical error terms fit using maximum likelihood estimation. Includes 412,458 measurements from 52,640 children in 32 cohorts.



Extended Data Figure 8 | Distribution of LAZ at subsequent measurements after stunting

reversal. Includes data from 21 cohorts in 10 countries with at least monthly measurement (N = 11,424 children). All panels contain data up to age 15 months because in most cohorts, measurements were less frequent above 15 months.



Extended Data Figure 9 | Linear growth velocity by age and sex stratified by region. a) Withinchild difference in length in centimeters per month stratified by age, sex, and region. Dashed black line indicates 25^{th} percentile of the WHO Growth Velocity Standards; solid black line indicates the 50^{th} percentile. Colored lines indicate and vertical bars indicate 95% confidence intervals for *ki* cohorts. Light gray lines indicate cohort-specific linear growth velocity curves. (**b**) Within-child difference in length-for-age Z-score per month by age, sex, and region. Smaller partially transparent points indicate cohort-specific estimates. Results shown in all panels were derived from 32 *ki* cohorts in 14 countries that measured children at least quarterly (n = 52,640 children).



Extended Data Figure 10 | Comparison of stunting prevalence at birth with and without gestational age correction

This figure includes the results from correcting at-birth Z-scores in the *ki* cohorts that measured gestational age (GA) for 37,218 measurements in 5 cohorts. The number in the parentheses following each cohort name indicates the prevalence of pre-term birth in each cohort. The corrections are using the Intergrowth standards and are implemented using the R *growthstandards* package (https://ki-tools.github.io/growthstandards/). Overall, the stunting prevalence at birth decreased slightly after correcting for gestational age, but the cohort-specific results are inconsistent. Observations with GA outside of the Intergrowth standards range (<168 or > 300 days) were dropped for both the corrected and uncorrected data. Prevalence increased after GA correction in some cohorts due to high rates of late-term births based on reported GA. Gestational age was estimated based on mother's recall of the last menstrual period in the Jivita-3, IRC, and CMC-V-BCS-2002 cohorts, was based on the Dubowitz method (newborn exam) in the Keneba cohort, and was based on ultrasound measurements in the PROBIT trial.

Extended Data Table 1| Summary of ki cohorts

	1		1	1		1	
					Anthropometry		
		Study		Children	measurement	Total	
Region, Study ID	Country	Years	Design	Enrolled*	ages (months)	measurements*	Primary References
South Asia							
		2013-	Prospective				Iqbal et al 2018 Nature
Biomarkers for EE	Pakistan	2015	cohort	379	Birth, 1, 2,, 18	8484	Scientific Reports ¹
		2011 -	Prospective				Ali et al 2016 Journal of
Resp. Pathogens	Pakistan	2014	cohort	284	Birth, 1, 2,, 17	3177	Medical Virology ²
Growth Monitoring		2012 -	Prospective				
Study	Nepal	Ongoing	cohort	698	Birth, 1, 2,, 24	13465	Not yet published
		2010 -	Prospective				Shrestha et al 2014 Clin
MAL-ED	Nepal	2014	cohort	240	Birth, 1, 2,, 24	5703	Infect Dis ³
CMC Birth Cohort,		2002 -	Prospective		Birth, 0.5, 1, 1.5,		Gladstone et al. 2011
Vellore	India	2006	cohort	373	, 24	8709	NEJM ⁴
		2010 -	Prospective				John et al 2014 Clin Infect
MAL-ED	India	2012	cohort	251	Birth, 1, 2,, 24	5702	Dis⁵
Vellore Crypto		2008 -	Prospective				Kattula et al. 2014 BMJ
Study	India	2011	cohort	410	Birth, 1, 2,, 24	9771	Open ⁶
		1993 -	Prospective				Pathela et al 2007 Acta
CMIN 93	Bangladesh	1996	Cohort	277	Birth, 3, 6,, 24	5363	Paediatrica ⁷
TDC	India	2008-	Quasi-	160	Birth, 1, 2,, 24	3593	Sarkar et al. 2013 BMC
		2011	experimental				Public Health ⁸
		2010 -	Prospective				Ahmed et al 2014 Clin
MAL-ED	Bangladesh	2014	cohort	265	Birth, 1, 2,, 24	5604	Infect Dis ⁹
					Birth, 6, 10, 12,		
					14. 17, 18, 24,		
		2011 -	Individual		39, 40, 52, 53		Kirkpatrick et al 2015 Am J
PROVIDE RCT	Bangladesh	2014	RCT	700	(weeks)	9207	Trop Med Hyg ¹⁰

		1995 -	Individual				Bhandari et al 2001 J
Food Suppl RCT	India	1996	RCT	418	Baseline, 6, 9, 12	2232	Nutri ¹¹
Optimal Infant		1999 -					Bhandari et al 2004 J
Feeding	India	2001	Cluster RCT	472	Birth, 3, 6,, 18	2948	Nutri ¹²
		2008 -	Prospective				Korpe et al. 2016 PLOS
NIH Birth Cohort	Bangladesh	2009	Cohort	629	Birth, 3, 6,, 12	6215	NTD ¹³
		2012 -					
JiVitA-4 Trial	Bangladesh	2014	Cluster RCT	1434	6, 9, 12, 14, 18	9344	Christian et al 2015 IJE ¹⁴
		2008 -			Birth, 1, 3, 6, 12,		
JiVitA-3 Trial	Bangladesh	2012	Cluster RCT	13475	24	53453	West et al JAMA 2014 ¹⁵
NIH							
Cryptosporidium		2014 -	Prospective				Steiner et al 2018 Clin
Study	Bangladesh	2017	cohort	758	Birth, 3, 6,, 24	6914	Infect Dis ¹⁶
Africa							
		2009 -	Prospective				Mduma et al 2014 Clin
MAL-ED	Tanzania	2014	cohort	262	Birth, 1, 2,, 24	5713	Infect Dis ¹⁷
		2007 -	Individual				Locks et al Am J Clin Nutr
Tanzania Child 2	Tanzania	2011	RCT	2396	1, 2,, 20	29565	2016 ¹⁸
	South	2009 -	Prospective				Bessong et al 2014 Clin
MAL-ED	Africa	2014	cohort	314	Birth, 1, 2,, 24	6163	Infect Dis ¹⁹
		1987 -					Schoenbuchner et al.
MRC Keneba	Gambia	1997	Cohort	2915	Birth, 1, 2,, 24	40275	2019, AJCN ²⁰
		1997 -	Individual		Birth, 6 wks, 3, 6,		Malaba et al 2005 Am J
ZVITAMBO Trial	Zimbabwe	2001	RCT	14074	9, 12	71928	Clin Nutr ²¹
Lungwena Child		2011 -	Individual		Birth, 1-6 wk, 6,		Mangani et al. 2015, Mat
Nutrition RCT	Malawi	2014	RCT	840	12 18	4336	Child Nutr ²²
	Burkina	2010 -					
iLiNS-Zinc Study	Faso	2012	Cluster RCT	797	9, 12, 15, 18	1471	Hess et al 2015 Plos One ²³

CMIN GB94	Guinea	1994 -	Prospective	870	Enrollment and	6451	Valentiner-Branth 2001		
	Bissau	1997	Cohort		every 3 months		Am J Clin Nutr ²⁴		
					after				
Latin America									
		2009 -	Prospective				Yori et al 2014 Clin Infect		
MAL-ED	Peru	2014	cohort	303	Birth, 1, 2,, 24	6142	Dis ²⁵		
		2007 -	Prospective				Jaganath et al 2014		
CONTENT	Peru	2011	cohort	215	Birth, 1, 2,, 24	8339	Helicobacter ²⁶		
		1997 -	Individual		Baseline, 1, 2,				
Bovine Serum RCT	Guatemala	1998	RCT	315	,8	2545	Begin et al. 2008, EJCN ²⁷		
		2010 -	Prospective				Lima et al 2014 Clin Infect		
MAL-ED	Brazil	2014	cohort	233	Birth, 1, 2,, 24	4858	Dis ²⁸		
CMIN Brazil89	Brazil	1989-	Prospective	119	Birth, 1, 2,, 24	889	Moore et al. 2001 Int J		
		2000	Cohort				Epidemiol. ²⁹		
CMIN Peru95	Peru	1995 -	Prospective	224	Birth, 1, 2,, 24	3979	Checkley et al. 2003 Am J		
		1998	Cohort				Epidemiol. ³⁰		
CMIN Peru89	Peru	1989 -	Prospective	210	Birth, 1, 2,, 24	2742	Checkley et al. 1998 Am J		
		1991	Cohort				Epidemiol. ³¹		
Europe									
		1996 -							
PROBIT Study	Belarus	1997	Cluster RCT	8127	1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 12	58649	Kramer et al 2001 JAMA ³²		
*Children enrolled is	s for children v	with measu	urements under	2 years of a	ge. Total measurem	nents are number o	f measurements of		
anthropometry on c	hildren under	2 years of	age.						

Extended Data Table 2.

	CMC-V-BCS- 2002, India	CMIN Bangladesh 93	CMIN Brazil 89	CMIN Guinea- Bissau 94	CMIN Peru 89	CMIN Peru 95	CONTENT, Peru	EE, Pakistan	GMS-Nepal	Guatemala BSC
	(N=373)	(N=280)	(N=119)	(N=885)	(N=210)	(N=224)	(N=215)	(N=380)	(N=698)	(N=315)
Sex										
Female	187 (50.1%)	122 (43.6%)	62 (52.1%)	443 (50.1%)	100 (47.6%)	96 (42.9%)	109 (50.7%)	185 (48.7%)	328 (47.0%)	162 (51.4%)
Male	186 (49.9%)	158 (56.4%)	57 (47.9%)	442 (49.9%)	110 (52.4%)	128 (57.1%)	106 (49.3%)	195 (51.3%)	370 (53.0%)	153 (48.6%)
Birthweight										
Mean (SD)	2910 (434)	2560 (967)	3270 (477)	3330 (541)	3550 (492)	3690 (367)	3070 (323)	2640 (506)	2660 (423)	
Maternal age										
Mean (SD)	24.1 (4.11)							30.0 (3.99)	24.0 (5.09)	25.2 (6.21)
Maternal weight										
Mean (SD)										
Maternal education										
(years)										
Mean (SD)	5.43 (4.10)							0.873 (2.51)	2.48 (4.01)	4.07 (2.95)
Number of rooms										
4+	14 (3.75%)						78 (36.3%)		323 (46.3%)	
1	202 (54.2%)						44 (20.5%)		49 (7.02%)	
2	106 (28.4%)						54 (25.1%)		145 (20.8%)	
3	51 (13.7%)						39 (18.1%)		181 (25.9%)	
Number of children										
<5yrs										
1										
2+										
Improved sanitation										
1							201 (93.5%)			
0							14 (6.51%)			
Food security level										
Food Secure									479 (71.1%)	
Mildly Food Insecure									106 (15.7%)	
Food Insecure									89 (13.2%)	

	IRC India	JiVitA-3,	JiVitA-4,	Keneba,	LCNI-5,	MAL-ED,	MAL-ED,	MAL-ED,	MAL-ED,	MAL-ED,	MAL-ED, South
	inc, inuia	Bangladesh	Bangladesh	Gambia	Malawi	Bangladesh	Brazil	India	Nepal	Peru	Africa
	(N=410)	(N=28300)	(N=5449)	(N=2954)	(N=840)	(N=265)	(N=233)	(N=251)	(N=240)	(N=303)	(N=314)
Sex											
Female	185 (45.1%)	13785 (48.7%)	2728 (50.1%)	1426 (48.3%)	421 (50.1%)	136 (51.3%)	113 (48.5%)	138 (55.0%)) 110 (45.8%)	143 (47.2%)159 (50.6%)
Male	225 (54.9%)	14515 (51.3%)	2721 (49.9%)	1528 (51.7%)	419 (49.9%)	129 (48.7%)	120 (51.5%)	113 (45.0%)) 130 (54.2%)	160 (52.8%)155 (49.4%)
Birthweight											
Mean (SD)	2890 (448)	2550 (428)	2990 (823)	2970 (421)		2800 (412)	3340 (483)	2890 (442)	2980 (390)	3130 (430)	3130 (464)
Maternal age											
Mean (SD)	23.7 (3.68)	21.4 (5.27)		27.4 (7.16)	26.8 (7.87)	24.8 (4.99)	24.8 (5.53)	23.9 (4.10)	26.4 (3.76)	24.2 (6.06)	26.4 (6.86)
Maternal weight											
Mean (SD)		43.7 (6.13)			50.9 (6.66)	49.6 (8.54)	61.9 (11.8)	50.4 (9.37)	56.3 (8.27)	55.5 (8.95)	67.5 (14.9)
Maternal education (years)											
Mean (SD)	5.06 (4.61)	5.06 (3.82)	7.51 (4.82)		3.54 (3.34)	5.63 (2.58)	9.18 (2.81)	7.88 (3.17)	8.77 (3.44)	7.81 (2.79)	10.3 (1.94)
Number of rooms											
4+	17 (4.17%)	1181 (4.18%)	286 (5.26%)			12 (4.96%)	127 (60.5%)	25 (10.6%)	131 (55.5%)	139 (51.1%)196 (76.3%)
1	185 (45.3%)	16754 (59.3%)	3196 (58.8%)			152 (62.8%)	4 (1.90%)	84 (35.7%)	52 (22.0%)	19 (6.99%)	14 (5.45%)
2	170 (41.7%)	7605 (26.9%)	1389 (25.6%)			50 (20.7%)	20 (9.52%)	78 (33.2%)	31 (13.1%)	52 (19.1%)	22 (8.56%)
3	36 (8.82%)	2723 (9.63%)	562 (10.3%)			28 (11.6%)	59 (28.1%)	48 (20.4%)	22 (9.32%)	62 (22.8%)	25 (9.73%)
Number of children <5yrs											
1	89 (21.7%)	18200 (64.4%)			391 (48.2%)						
2+	321 (78.3%)	10066 (35.6%)			421 (51.8%)						
Improved sanitation											
1		19609 (69.4%)	4191 (77.1%)		3 (0.369%)	204 (84.3%)	206 (98.1%)	108 (46.4%)) 235 (99.6%)	65 (24.7%)	4 (1.60%)
0		8655 (30.6%)	1242 (22.9%)		810 (99.6%)	38 (15.7%)	4 (1.90%)	125 (53.6%)) 1 (0.424%)	198 (75.3%)246 (98.4%)
Food security level											
Food Secure		8711 (50.0%)	2751 (50.9%)			161 (83.0%)	3 (2.33%)	190 (89.6%)) 94 (73.4%)	27 (23.9%)	132 (56.7%)
Mildly Food Insecure		5793 (33.3%)	1951 (36.1%)			4 (2.06%)	11 (8.53%)	5 (2.36%)	15 (11.7%)	29 (25.7%)	19 (8.15%)
Food Insecure		2910 (16.7%)	703 (13.0%)			29 (14.9%)	115 (89.1%)	17 (8.02%)	19 (14.8%)	57 (50.4%)	82 (35.2%)

	MAL-ED, Tanzania	NIH-Birth, Bangladesh	NIH-Crypto, Bangladesh	PROBIT, Belarus	PROVIDE, Bangladesh	ResPak, Pakistan	SAS- CompFeed, India	SAS- FoodSuppl, India	Tanzania Child	2 TDC, Indi	a ZVITAMBO, Zimbabwe
	(N=262)	(N=629)	(N=758)	(N=17046)	(N=700)	(N=284)	(N=1535)	(N=418)	(N=2400)	(N=160)	(N=14104)
Sex											
Female	133 (50.8%	5) 297 (47.2%)	381 (50.3%)	8217 (48.2%) 332 (47.4%)	136 (47.9%)	702 (45.7%)	223 (53.3%)	1184 (49.3%)	75 (46.99	%)6847 (48.5%)
Male	129 (49.2%	5) 332 (52.8%)	377 (49.7%)	8829 (51.8%) 368 (52.6%)	148 (52.1%)	833 (54.3%)	195 (46.7%)	1216 (50.7%)	85 (53.19	%)7257 (51.5%)
Birthweight											
Mean (SD)	3180 (452)	2710 (414)	2800 (410)	3440 (419)	2780 (371)	2930 (525)) 2750 (516)		3230 (474)	2910 (450)	2970 (456)
Maternal age											
Mean (SD)	28.4 (6.67)	25.0 (5.32)	24.3 (4.68)	24.9 (4.92)	24.7 (4.65)		23.6 (4.08)	25.0 (4.63)	26.4 (5.04)		24.5 (5.30)
Maternal weight											
Mean (SD)	55.7 (9.11)	48.0 (8.51)	52.1 (9.17)	66.2 (12.5)	49.3 (9.41)		47.6 (7.05)		62.2 (11.7)		60.0 (11.1)
Maternal education (years)											
Mean (SD)	6.17 (1.79)	3.76 (3.45)	5.77 (3.63)	13.0 (1.40)	4.33 (3.62)		4.42 (4.52)	1.25 (2.70)	7.90 (2.27)		9.66 (1.95)
Number of rooms											
4+	108 (43.2%	5)	95 (12.5%)		23 (3.29%)					5 (3.13%)
1	13 (5.20%)		368 (48.5%)		507 (72.4%)					91 (56.99	%)
2	63 (25.2%)		191 (25.2%)		108 (15.4%)					49 (30.69	%)
3	66 (26.4%)		104 (13.7%)		62 (8.86%)					15 (9.389	%)
Number of children <5yrs											
1			541 (71.4%)		512 (73.1%)				1640 (68.6%)		
2+			217 (28.6%)		188 (26.9%)				749 (31.4%)		
Improved sanitation											
1		242 (38.5%)	655 (87.7%)		58 (96.7%)						
0		387 (61.5%)	92 (12.3%)		2 (3.33%)						
Food security level											
Food Secure		95 (15.1%)	453 (59.8%)								
Mildly Food Insecure		492 (78.2%)	219 (28.9%)								
Food Insecure		42 (6.68%)	86 (11.3%)								

Africa						
Gambia	2013					
Malawi	2015-2016					
Tanzania	2015-2016					
South Africa	2016					
Zimbabwe	2015					
South Asia						
Bangladesh	2014					
India	2015-2016					
Nepal	2016					
Pakistan	2017-2018					
Latin America						
Brazil	1996					
Guatemala	2014-2015					
Peru	2012					

Extended Data Table 3 Countries and survey years included in the analysis of Demographic and Health Survey data

References

- 1. Iqbal, N. T. *et al.* Promising Biomarkers of Environmental Enteric Dysfunction: A Prospective Cohort study in Pakistani Children. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 2966 (2018).
- 2. Ali, A. *et al.* Respiratory viruses associated with severe pneumonia in children under 2 years old in a rural community in Pakistan. *J. Med. Virol.* **88**, 1882–1890 (2016).
- 3. Shrestha, P. S. *et al.* Bhaktapur, Nepal: The MAL-ED Birth Cohort Study in Nepal. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **59**, S300–S303 (2014).
- Gladstone, B. P. *et al.* Protective Effect of Natural Rotavirus Infection in an Indian Birth Cohort. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 365, 337–346 (2011).
- John, S. M. *et al.* Establishment of the MAL-ED Birth Cohort Study Site in Vellore, Southern India. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 59, S295–S299 (2014).

- 6. Kattula, D. *et al.* The first 1000 days of life: prenatal and postnatal risk factors for morbidity and growth in a birth cohort in southern India. *BMJ Open* **4**, e005404 (2014).
- Pathela, P. *et al.* Diarrheal illness in a cohort of children 0-2 years of age in rural Bangladesh: I.
 Incidence and risk factors: Risk factors for diarrhea in Bangladeshi children. *Acta Paediatr.* 95, 430–437 (2007).
- Sarkar, R. *et al.* Burden of childhood diseases and malnutrition in a semi-urban slum in southern India. *BMC Public Health* 13, 87 (2013).
- Ahmed, T. *et al.* The MAL-ED Cohort Study in Mirpur, Bangladesh. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 59, S280–S286 (2014).
- Kirkpatrick, B. D. *et al.* The "Performance of Rotavirus and Oral Polio Vaccines in Developing Countries" (PROVIDE) Study: Description of Methods of an Interventional Study Designed to Explore Complex Biologic Problems. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **92**, 744–751 (2015).
- Bhandari, N. *et al.* Food Supplementation with Encouragement to Feed It to Infants from 4 to 12 Months of Age Has a Small Impact on Weight Gain. *J. Nutr.* **131**, 1946–1951 (2001).
- Bhandari, N. *et al.* An Educational Intervention to Promote Appropriate Complementary Feeding Practices and Physical Growth in Infants and Young Children in Rural Haryana, India. *J. Nutr.* 134, 2342–2348 (2004).
- 13. Korpe, P. S. *et al.* Natural History of Cryptosporidiosis in a Longitudinal Study of Slum-Dwelling Bangladeshi Children: Association with Severe Malnutrition. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **10**, (2016).
- Christian, P. *et al.* Effect of fortified complementary food supplementation on child growth in rural Bangladesh: a cluster-randomized trial. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 44, 1862–1876 (2015).
- West, K. P. *et al.* Effect of Maternal Multiple Micronutrient vs Iron–Folic Acid Supplementation on Infant Mortality and Adverse Birth Outcomes in Rural Bangladesh: The JiVitA-3 Randomized Trial. *JAMA* 312, 2649–2658 (2014).

- Steiner, K. L. *et al.* Species of Cryptosporidia Causing Subclinical Infection Associated With Growth Faltering in Rural and Urban Bangladesh: A Birth Cohort Study. *Clin. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Infect. Dis. Soc. Am.* 67, 1347–1355 (2018).
- 17. Mduma, E. R. *et al.* The Etiology, Risk Factors, and Interactions of Enteric Infections and Malnutrition and the Consequences for Child Health and Development Study (MAL-ED): Description of the Tanzanian Site. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **59**, S325–S330 (2014).
- Locks, L. M. *et al.* Effect of zinc and multivitamin supplementation on the growth of Tanzanian children aged 6–84 wk: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial12. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 103, 910–918 (2016).
- Bessong, P. O., Nyathi, E., Mahopo, T. C. & Netshandama, V. Development of the Dzimauli Community in Vhembe District, Limpopo Province of South Africa, for the MAL-ED Cohort Study. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 59, S317–S324 (2014).
- Schoenbuchner, S. M. *et al.* The relationship between wasting and stunting: a retrospective cohort analysis of longitudinal data in Gambian children from 1976 to 2016. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqy326.
- Malaba, L. C. *et al.* Effect of postpartum maternal or neonatal vitamin A supplementation on infant mortality among infants born to HIV-negative mothers in Zimbabwe. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **81**, 454–460 (2005).
- 22. Mangani, C. *et al.* Effect of complementary feeding with lipid-based nutrient supplements and corn– soy blend on the incidence of stunting and linear growth among 6- to 18-month-old infants and children in rural Malawi. *Matern. Child. Nutr.* **11**, 132–143 (2015).
- 23. Hess, S. Y. *et al.* Small-Quantity Lipid-Based Nutrient Supplements, Regardless of Their Zinc Content, Increase Growth and Reduce the Prevalence of Stunting and Wasting in Young Burkinabe Children: A Cluster-Randomized Trial. *PLOS ONE* **10**, e0122242 (2015).

- 24. Valentiner-Branth, P. *et al.* Community-based controlled trial of dietary management of children with persistent diarrhea: sustained beneficial effect on ponderal and linear growth. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*73, 968–974 (2001).
- 25. Yori, P. P. *et al.* Santa Clara de Nanay: The MAL-ED Cohort in Peru. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **59**, S310–S316 (2014).
- Jaganath, D. *et al.* First Detected Helicobacter pylori Infection in Infancy Modifies the Association Between Diarrheal Disease and Childhood Growth in Peru. *Helicobacter* 19, 272–279 (2014).
- Bégin, F., Santizo, M.-C., Peerson, J. M., Torún, B. & Brown, K. H. Effects of bovine serum concentrate, with or without supplemental micronutrients, on the growth, morbidity, and micronutrient status of young children in a low-income, peri-urban Guatemalan community. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 62, 39–50 (2008).
- Lima, A. A. M. *et al.* Geography, Population, Demography, Socioeconomic, Anthropometry, and Environmental Status in the MAL-ED Cohort and Case-Control Study Sites in Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 59, S287–S294 (2014).
- 29. Moore, S. R. *et al.* Early childhood diarrhoea and helminthiases associate with long-term linear growth faltering. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **30**, 1457–1464 (2001).
- 30. Checkley, W., Epstein, L. D., Gilman, R. H., Cabrera, L. & Black, R. E. Effects of acute diarrhea on linear growth in Peruvian children. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **157**, 166–175 (2003).
- 31. Checkley, W. *et al.* Effects of Cryptosporidium parvum infection in Peruvian children: growth faltering and subsequent catch-up growth. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **148**, 497–506 (1998).
- 32. Kramer, M. S. *et al.* Promotion of Breastfeeding Intervention Trial (PROBIT): A Randomized Trial in the Republic of Belarus. *JAMA* **285**, 413–420 (2001).