

HEALTHY BIRTH, **GROWTH & DEVELOPMENT**



A Case Study in Comparing Cognitive Development Across Populations

Jonathan L. French,¹ Amy Racine,² Stef van Buuren,³ Jonas Häggström,⁴ and the Lifecycle, Auxology, & Neurocognitive Development Surge Team⁵ POSTER #I-12 ¹Metrum Research Group, ²Novartis, Inc., ³TNO, ⁴Holy Diver Consulting, ⁵Sponsored by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Healthy Birth, Growth, and Development Initiative

Objectives

- Assessment of neurocognitive development during the first 1000 days after birth is important, particularly in children in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC).
- Various instruments are used for these assessments, mostly based on a defined set of tasks for the child to perform.
- Tasks typically are scored as a set of ordered categories.



Discrimination Plots for a Sample of Items



- **Development score (D-score) may integrate** data collected using different scales and across different populations.^{1,2}
- The purpose of this work was:
- (1) To evaluate the assumptions underlying the Dscore using data from an LMIC population, and
- (2) To assess whether the D-score can be used for between-population comparisons.





Methods

Child's D-score was connected to observed longitudinal outcomes through Rasch model¹ (an item-response theory model).

Data:

- 2 studies in high income countries (HIC): ~2000 and ~500 children.
- 1 study in an LMIC : ~1900 children. All 3 studies: birth to age 2 y.

LMIC Study 0.50 Walks on own Valks well without he 0.25 Ability (d-score





Rasch model assumptions:

- (1) Invariance to the set of items used.
- (2) Common item-level difficulty across populations.





- Probability of a positive response modeled to each item (X_{ii}) as a function of the difference between a child's ability (θ_i) and an item-level difficulty (т_i).
- D-score was a translation of θ_i to an interpretable scale.
- Instruments for assessing neurocognitive development differed between studies.
- 35 items matched between studies.
- HIC data: item-level difficulty values were previously estimated.^{1,2}
- Assumption of parameter invariance evaluated by comparing estimated Dscore based on full set of items and matching items in LMIC study instrument.
- Discrimination plots made to compare item difficulty and item discrimination across studies.
- Longitudinal D-scores compared between study populations.

Results

- Comparison of the D-score (based on full- and matched-set of items in HIC studies): high correlations both overall and by age, indicating that the D-score may be invariant to the full and reduced set of items.
- Discrimination plots:
 - Item-level difficulty similar across these HIC and LMIC populations for most items.
 - Some difficulty parameters may differ (items relating to language and motor skills).
 - D-scores in all 3 studies
- Average standardized scores were lower at 6 and 24 mo and higher at 15 mo in LMIC than HIC.
- This pattern of development may be accurate or due to incorrect assumptions of the Rasch model.



increased consistently as children matured.

References

- 1. Jacobusse G, van Buuren S, Verkerk PH. An interval scale for development of children aged 0-2 years. Stat Med. 2006;35(13):2272-2283.
- 2. van Buuren S. Growth charts of human development. Stat Methods Med Res. 2014;23(4):346-368.

Sponsored by the Healthy birth, growth and development (HBGD) initiative representing Discovery & Translational Sciences, Integrated Development, Integrated Delivery, Nutrition, Agriculture, Water, Sanitation & Hygiene, Maternal, Newborn & Child Health, Enteric & Diarrheal Diseases, and Pneumonia Project Strategy Teams. HBGDki was conceived of and is directed by shasha.jumbe@gatesfoundation.org

Conclusions

- D-score shows promise for facilitating comparisons across populations.
- D-score has not been clearly validated for this purpose.
- D-score was invariant to choice of items, but item-level difficulties may depend on the population and/or instrument used.
- Additional work is needed to further evaluate D-score, including comparisons using additional populations and neurocognitive development instruments.

Acknowledgments

Children, families, and investigators who contributed data to this investigation.